

ANNEX A

Exchange of e-mails between DECC and NIA

From: [REDACTED] (Office for Nuclear Development)
Sent: 25 August 2011 09:17
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Greenpeace proposed JR of Nuclear NPS

[REDACTED]

Our lines (standard for this sort of situation) :

"DECC has received a letter [under the pre-action protocol for judicial review] on behalf of Greenpeace in relation to the Nuclear National Policy Statement designated by the Secretary of State in July 2011.

"We are considering the points raised, but we are confident that the designation of the Nuclear National Policy Statement was lawful."

Happy for you to circulate. I don't think there's been any media interest yet.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: 24 August 2011 17:47
To: [REDACTED] (Office for Nuclear Development)
Subject: RE: Greenpeace proposed JR of Nuclear NPS

[REDACTED]

We discussed the potential industry input this afternoon; could we have a word tomorrow?

In the meantime I have put together the attached media lines for discussion with the utilities. Do you know what your people will be saying?

[REDACTED]

Nuclear National Policy Statement: Reactive Media lines for Judicial Review

- The Nuclear National Policy Statement was designated in July after an extensive process lasting almost 3 years. In addition to a series of preliminary consultations on the procedure and strategic siting assessment, this included two public consultations on the draft NPS itself, as well as a series of regional public meetings
- The industry has every confidence that the Government has considered all the issues properly.

- The statement was subject to parliamentary scrutiny in both Houses and approved by an overwhelming parliamentary majority: 267 votes for and only 14 against.
- It would not be appropriate to comment at this stage on Greenpeace's detailed case in the light of the pending court proceedings.

ANNEX B

Exchange of e-mails between DECC and NIA

From: [REDACTED] (Office for Nuclear Development)
Sent: 07 September 2011 10:00
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Greenpeace JR application

[REDACTED]
Documents are (after grounds / witness statement and draft grounds / correspondence with TSol) :

- SoS statement to Parliament on designating NPSs.
- Final text of overarching NPS and Nuclear NPS.
- SoS letter to Weightman March 2011
- Minutes of NDF March 2011
http://www.decc.gov.uk/en/content/cms/meeting_energy/nuclear/forums/develop_forum/develop_forum.aspx
- SoS press release after Fukushima
- Correspondence Greenpeace / Weightman
- Letter from Mark Higson to Weightman and response
- Letters from Greenpeace to DECC calling for Paris / Brussels and plutonium consultations to be suspended.
- Weightman interim report
- SoS press release following Weightman interim report
- NFLA press release following Weightman interim report
- Letter from EA to SoS.
- Letter from SoS to Weightman about Recommendations for Government in interim report and statement to Parliament.
- Justice Sullivan's judgement 2007
- 2007 White Paper on sustainable future.
- 2008 consultation on SSA process – extract, and Government response
- Market Research Standards Board report on Greenpeace complaint
- Nuclear NPS and AoS – 2009 version - extracts.
- Extract from DECC website following close of 2009 / 2010 NPS consultation
- Coalition agreement
- Press release and extracts from 2010/2011 NPS consultation.
- Greenpeace website material on impact of Fukushima and various press articles including Guardian article on FOI request re Fukushima
- Weightman invitation to submit information on Fukushima
- John Large report to Greenpeace on Fukushima
- Weightman invitation to John Large to join Fukushima Panel
- Report of IAEA Safety meeting
- Letter from NDA official in personal capacity (to Weightman?) on danger of flooding.
- Draft SERCO submission to Weightman.
- Hirsch report on EPR and Fukushima
http://www.greenpeace.org/france/PageFiles/266521/EPR_Report_Greenpeace.fr.pdf
- Government responses to NPS consultation and Parliament, June 2011
- Greenpeace responses to consultations 2007 – 2011

I've added links where they're available but might not be obvious where.

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: 06 September 2011 16:31
To: [REDACTED] (Office for Nuclear Development)
Subject: Greenpeace JR application

[REDACTED]

Our lawyers have asked whether DECC has received a copy of Greenpeace's accompanying bundle of documents. Do you know the answer? It would be interesting to see the index on which they intend to rely.

Thanks

[REDACTED]

[illegible]